

Tetrahedron 58 (2002) 9063–9074

TETRAHEDRON

Titanium(IV) bromide and boron(III) tribromide promoted reactions of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one, methyl propiolate and propynenitrile

Min Shi* and Chun-Jiang Wang

State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China

Received 17 May 2002; revised 9 August 2002; accepted 5 September 2002

Abstract—The reactions of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one, methyl propiolate or propynenitrile in the presence of Lewis acids such as titanium(IV) bromide (TiBr₄) or boron(III) tribromide (BBr₃) (1.4 equiv.) can be drastically affected by the reaction temperature. When the reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one was carried out at $<-20^{\circ}$ C, brominated compounds were obtained as the major product. However, when the reaction was carried out at room temperature (20 $^{\circ}$ C), both the brominated compounds and α , β -dibrominated compounds were formed as the major products. Moreover, at 70 \degree C in 1,2-dichloroethane, the α , β -dibrominated compounds were obtained as the sole products. On the other hand, the reactions of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate or propynenitrile in the presence of TiBr₄ are very slow at room temperature (20° C). The corresponding α -brominated compounds, derived from the reactions of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate, were obtained in low yields. While, at 70 \degree C in 1,2-dichloroethane, β , β -dibrominated compounds were obtained from the reactions of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate or propynenitrile in the presence of TiBr₄ in moderate yields. The substituent on the phenyl ring can affect the reaction rate and the E/Z ratio. In addition, with two substrate, palladium catalyzed allylic substitution and Suzuki-type coupling reaction have been examined. \oslash 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Research into the Baylis–Hillman reaction has made great progress since Baylis and Hillman first reported the reaction of acetaldehyde with ethyl acrylate and acrylonitrile in the presence of catalytic amounts of a strong Lewis base such as 1,4-diazabicyclo^[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) in 1972.^{[1,2](#page-10-0)} In this area, we have reported that the combination of Lewis bases such as chalcogenides, amines or quaternary ammonium halides with the Lewis acid $TiCl₄$ can significantly speed up this reaction and give the corresponding chlorinated products and the elimination products (Z-olefins) at different reaction temperatures.^{[3,4](#page-11-0)} Moreover, very recently, the reaction of aldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of TiCl₄ has been disclosed by Li and Kataoka.^{[5,6](#page-11-0)} This new process could afford an efficient synthetic method to the b-chloro Baylis–Hillman adducts. From the point of view of synthetic chemistry, the α -bromomethylene aldols 1 are more useful than the corresponding α -chloromethylene aldols because they can be more easily subjected to transition metal catalyzed reactions such as allylic substitution and Suzuki coupling reactions. Thus, we initially attempted the preparation of β -bromo Baylis–Hillman

* Corresponding author. Fax: $+86-21-64166128$;

e-mail: mshi@pub.sioc.ac.cn

adducts 1 using titanium(IV) bromide (TiBr₄) or boron(III) tribromide (BBr_3) under the similar reaction conditions. So far, only one example using $TiBr₄$ as Lewis acid for this reaction has been examined at room temperature in these previously reported papers.^{[5,6](#page-11-0)} In this paper, we more carefully examined the reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of $TiBr₄$ or $BBr₃$ (1.4 equiv.) at different temperatures. We found that Lewis bases such as $Me₂S$, amines or quaternary ammonium halides did not affect the rate of this novel reaction, however, the reaction temperatures played very important roles for this reaction both on reaction products and stereoselectivities (E/Z ratio). When the reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one was carried out at $<-20^{\circ}$ C, the brominated compounds 1 were obtained as the major product. However, when the reaction was carried out at room temperature (20 $^{\circ}$ C), both 1 and α , β -dibromi-nated compounds 2 were formed as the major products.^{[7](#page-11-0)} Herein, we wish to report the details of the reactions of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one, methyl propiolate, and propynenitrile in the presence of TiBr₄ or BBr₃ (1.4 equiv.) at different temperatures.

2. Results and discussion

At low temperature $(<-20^{\circ}C)$, only α -bromomethylene aldols 1 could be obtained in moderate to high yields in the

Keywords: 3-butyn-2-one; methyl propiolate; propynenitrile; titanium(IV) bromide.

9064 M. Shi, C.-J. Wang / Tetrahedron 58 (2002) 9063–9074

Scheme 1.

Table 1. The reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of TiBr₄ and BBr3 (1.4 equiv.)

Entry	R	Lewis acid	Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Time (h)	Yield ^a (%)	EIZ
$\mathbf{1}$	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	BBr ₃	-78	40	54	19:1
2	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	-78	40	90	19:1
3	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄	-78	40	61	1:1.3
$\overline{4}$	$o\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	TiBr ₄	-78	40	76	1:6.6
5	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	-78	72	41	19:1
6	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	-20	72	80	19:1
7	C_6H_5	TiBr ₄	-20	72	79	19:1
8	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	-20	72	81	19:1

Aldehyde/TiBr₄ or BBr₃/3-butyn-2-one=1:1.4:2.
^a Isolated yields.

presence of TiBr₄ or BBr₃ (1.4 equiv.) (Scheme 1). TiBr₄ is more effective than $BBr₃$ for this reaction (Table 1, entries 1) and 2). For arylaldehydes having a strong electron-withdrawing group on the phenyl ring, the reaction proceeded quickly at -78° C to give 1 in high yields (Table 1, entries 2–4). However, other arylaldehydes needed higher temperature and longer reaction time to complete the reaction (Table 1, entries 5–8). The geometry of the major isomer was determined by ¹H NMR noesy spectroscopic

data and comparison of the spectral data with those of the corresponding α -chloromethylene aldols.^{[5,6](#page-11-0)}

At room temperature $(20^{\circ}C)$, we found that, besides α -bromomethylene aldols 1 α , β -dibrominated compounds 2 could be obtained at the same time using 1.4 equiv. of TiBr₄ or BBr₃ as Lewis acid (Scheme 2). As can be seen from Table 2, 2 was obtained in almost the same E/Z ratios and close yields as 1 in the presence of 1.4 equiv. of TiBr₄ at 20 $^{\circ}$ C (Table 2, entries 1–7). In general, the α -bromomethylene aldols 1 were obtained preferentially in E configuration and α , β -dibrominated compounds 2 were in the Z configuration. The crystal structure of 2a was disclosed by X-ray analysis [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). But for benzaldehyde or p-ethylbenzaldehyde, the E/Z geometrical selectivity is low (Table 2, entries 6 and 7). The substituents on the phenyl ring can affect the E/Z geometrical selectivity.

In order to clarify the formation route of 2, we carried out the direct reaction of **1a** $(E/Z=19:1)$ with 1.4 equiv. of Ti $Br₄$ at room temperature ([Scheme 3](#page-2-0)). We found that, the corresponding 2a was exclusively obtained in the same E/Z ratio in 10 h as in Table 1, entry 1. Based on this result, it is very clear that, product 2 is derived from 1 by the further reaction of 1 with TiBr₄ at room temperature [\(Scheme 3\)](#page-2-0).

Scheme 2.

Table 2. The reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of Tibr₄ and BBr₃ (1.4 equiv.)

Entry		Lewis acid	Time (h)	Yield ^a 1 $(\%)$	E/Z	Yield ^a 2 $(\%)$	E/Z
	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	BBr ₃	24		19:1	20	1:19
	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	24	43	19:1	20	1:19
	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄	24	41	19:1	20	1:19
4	$o\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄	24	36	8:1	32	1:19
	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	30	16	19:1	28	1:1.5
6.	C_6H_5	TiBr ₄	40		3:1	20	1:1.4
	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	40	29	1:1	22	1:4

Aldehyde/TiBr₄/3-butyn-2-one=1:1.4:2.
^a Isolated yields.

Figure 1. The crystal structure of 2a.

We also confirmed that, using 2.5 equiv. of TiBr₄ at room temperature, the α , β -dibrominated compound 2 could be obtained as the major product, but in the reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of excess $TiCl₄$ at 20 $^{\circ}$ C, the corresponding dichlorinated products could not be produced at all. Thus, for the formation of 2, we

believe that, at room temperature, the bromide ion at the titanium metal center can directly attack at the carbon atom bearing the activated hydroxy group to give the α , β -dibrominated compound 2 because bromide ion usually has more nucleophilicity than that of chloride ion.

In addition, when the reaction was carried out in 1,2-dichloroethane at 70 \degree C, α , β -dibrominated compounds 2 were exclusively obtained in moderate yields with almost the same E/Z ratios as those at room temperature (Scheme 4, Table 3). The reactions only required 8 h to completion.

On the other hand, we also examined the reaction of methyl propiolate and propynenitrile with arylaldehydes in the presence of $TiBr₄$. It was found that, these reactions are in general very slow at room temperature $(20^{\circ}C)$. The brominated products 3 were obtained in about 40% yield with a low E/Z ratio only in the reaction of nitrobenzaldehyde with methyl propiolate (Scheme 5, [Table 4](#page-3-0), entries 1–3). While, for p-chlorobenzaldehyde, benzaldehyde, or

Scheme 3.

R-CHO + HC=C-C-Me $\frac{O}{(CH_2Cl)_2, 70 \degree C}$ R $\frac{Pr}{C}$ Me + $\frac{Pr}{C}$ Me $\frac{Pr}{C$ a:R= p -NO₂C₆H₄, b:R= m -NO₂C₆H₄ c: R= o -NO₂C₆H₄, d: R= p -ClC₆H₄, e: R= C₆H₅, f: R= p -EtC₆H₄.

Scheme 4.

Table 3. The reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one in the presence of TiBr4

Entry		Lewis acid	Time (h)	Yield ^a $(\%)$	EIZ
-1	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄		70	1:19
$\overline{2}$	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄		43	1:19
3	$o\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	TiBr ₄		72	1:19
$\overline{4}$	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄		68	1:1.5
5	C_6H_5	TiBr ₄	8	50	1:1.2
6	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄		60	1:1.7

Aldehyde/TiBr₄/3-butyn-2-one=1:1.4:2.
^a Isolated yields.

p-ethylbenzaldehyde, no reaction occurred [\(Table 4,](#page-3-0) entries 4–6). However, if the reaction was carried out in 1,2-dichloroethane at 70 \degree C, we found that the β , β -dibrominated products 4 were formed predominantly along with a small amount of the corresponding compounds 3 [\(Scheme 6](#page-3-0), [Table 5](#page-3-0)). Under these reaction conditions, the products E-4 were formed preferentially ([Table 5,](#page-3-0) entries $1-7$). For the reaction of arylaldehydes with propynenitrile in the presence of TiBr₄ in 1,2-dichloroethane at 70°C, similar results were observed and the β , β -dibrominated products 5

Table 4. The reaction of arylaldehydes with mythyl propiolate in the presence of TiBr₄

Entry	R	Lewis acid	Time (h)	Yield ^a $(\%)$	EIZ.
1	$p\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	TiBr _A	48	42	1:2
2	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr _A	48	46	1:3
3	$o\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	TiBr _A	48	46	1:3
$\overline{4}$	p -BrC ₆ H ₄	TiBr _A	48		
5	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr _A	48		
6	C_6H_5	TiBr _A	48		
7	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	48		

Aldehyde/TiBr₄/mythyl propiolate=1:1.4:2. ^a Isolated yields.

were obtained in about 40% yield if the arylaldehydes having electron-withdrawing groups (Scheme 7, Table 6, entries 1–4). But for benzaldehyde or *p*-ethylaldehyde, no reaction occurred even at 70° C (Table 6, entries 5 and 6). The structures of 4 and 5 were established by spectral data and X-ray analyses [\(Figs. 2 and 3](#page-4-0)).

In order to clarify the reaction mechanism of the formation of dibrominated products, we first confirmed that 1 or 3 did not react with bromine or hydrogen bromide, which may be formed during the reaction, to give 2 or 4 in dichloromethane. Thus, the formation of 2 or 4 is only related with

Scheme 6.

Table 5. The reaction of arylaldehydes with mythyl propiolate in the presence of Tibr₄

Entry		Lewis acid	Time (h)	Yield ^a 3 $(\%)$	EIZ	Yield ^a 4 $(\%)$	EIZ
	p -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	14		19:1	56	2.5:1
	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄	24	15	19:1	52	5:1
	$o-NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr ₄	24	Trace		28	2:1
4	p -BrC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	24			57	2:1
	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	24			60	1.5:1
6	C_6H_5	TiBr ₄	24			38	2:1
	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	24			34	3:1

Aldehyde/TiBr₄/mythyl propiolate=1:1.4:2. ^a Isolated yields.

$$
R-CHO + \equiv -CN \quad \frac{\text{TiBr}_{4} \text{ or } BBr_{3}}{(CH_{2}Cl)_{2}, 70 \text{ °C}} \quad R/CH = C/CN \quad \text{CHBr}_{2} + C H = C/CN \quad \text{CHBr}_{2}
$$
\n
$$
Z = S_{3} - f \quad E
$$

Scheme 7.

Table 6. The reaction of arylaldehydes with propynenitrile in the presence of TiBr₄ or BBr₃

Entry	R	Lewis acid	Time (h)	Yield ^a $(\%)$	EIZ
1	$p\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	BBr ₃	48	30	5:1
$\overline{2}$	$p\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	TiBr ₄	48	42	5:1
3	$m\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$	TiBr _A	48	48	3:1
5	p -ClC ₆ H ₄	TiBr _A	48	42	9:1
6	C_6H_5	TiBr ₄	48		
7	p -EtC ₆ H ₄	TiBr ₄	48		

Aldehyde/TiBr₄/propynenitrile=1:1.4:2.
^a Isolated yields.

the TiBr₄ or BBr₃ reagent. In [Schemes 8 and 9](#page-4-0), we tentatively proposed the reaction mechanism for the formation of α, β - and β, β -dibrominated products 2 or 4 ([Schemes 8 and 9](#page-4-0)). The transition state of the six-membered ring derived from $Z-1$ or $E-1$ and TiBr₄ can only give α , β -dibrominated 2 with the corresponding Z or E configuration ([Scheme 8](#page-4-0)). While, for the formation of β , β -dibrominated products 4 and 5, we believe that, carboxyl group or nitrile group does not coordinate to the titanium metal center and the bromination takes place via another six-membered transition state shown in [Scheme 9.](#page-5-0) Thus, products E-4 and E-5 were preferentially produced.

Figure 2. The crystal structure of 4a.

Figure 3. The crystal structure of 5.

The palladium catalyzed allylic substitution and Suzukitype coupling reactions were examined using 4a as the substrate. It is very interesting to find that, the benzylic bromide and vinylic bromide were all replaced by the nucleophiles.[8](#page-11-0) The optimized reaction conditions are shown in [Scheme 10.](#page-5-0) The stereochemical configurations of 6 and 7 were determined by $1H$ NMR spectral data and nosey spectroscopic analysis.

3. Conclusion

We found that the titanium(IV) bromide or boron(III) tribromide promoted Baylis–Hillman reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one, methyl propiolate, or propynenitrile is not as simple as those reported before. The reaction temperature and the amount of the employed Lewis acids can drastically affect the reaction products. We first

Scheme 9.

disclosed that, at room temperature $(20^{\circ}C)$, both the α -bromomethylene aldols 1 and α , β -dibrominated products 2 were formed as the major reaction products and the α, β -dibrominated products 2 could be obtained as the sole product in the presence of large excess amount of $TiBr₄$ or at 708C in 1,2-dichloroethane. While, in the reaction of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate, or propynenitrile at 70° C in 1,2-dichloroethane, β, β -dibrominated products 4 and 5 were formed exclusively. Efforts are underway to elucidate the mechanistic details of this reaction and to disclose its scope and limitations. Work in this direction is currently in progress.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. Mass

4a + CH₂(CO₂Et)₂
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{Pd(OAc)}_2 (0.1 \text{ eq})}
$$
, $\text{PPh}_3 (0.4 \text{ eq})$
\n $P\text{-NO}_2C_6H_4$ OMe
\n $P\text{-NO}_2C_6H_4$ OMe
\n $(EtO_2C)_2HC$
\n4a + PhB(OH)₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{Pd}_2 (dba)_3 (0.03 \text{ eq})}$
\n THF , 70 °C, 22 h
\n K_3PO_4 3H₂O (4.0 eq)
\n $Ag_2O (4.0 \text{ eq})$
\n 6.9%

spectra were recorded by EI method and HRMS was measured on a Finnigan MA+mass spectrometer. For these products having bromide, the HRMS data are obtained and calculated on Br79. Organic solvents used were dried by standard methods when necessary. Commercially available reagents were used without further purification. All reactions were monitored by TLC with Huanghai $GF₂₅₄$ silica gel coated plates. flash column chromatography was carried out using 300–400 mesh silica gel.

4.1.1. Typical reaction procedure of arylaldehydes with **3-butyn-2-one (at** -78 **or** -20° **C).** To a solution of TiBr₄ (257 mg, 0.7 mmol) in freshly distilled dichloromethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.0 mL) at -78° C. After stirring for 5 min, 3-butyn-2-one (68 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept for 40 h at -78° C. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution $(2 mL)$. After filtration, the filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane $(5.0$ mL \times 2) and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give the compound 1a (E -isomer isolated, 135 mg, 90%) as a yellowish solid (eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether= 1:5): mp 120-121°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1666 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 4.35 (br, 1H, OH), 6.01 (br, 1H, benzylic H), 7.56 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.85 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.20 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 300 (M⁺+1, 0.51), 220 (M⁺-79, 73.49), 43 $(M⁺-256, 100)$. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₀BrNO₄ requires C, 44.00; H, 3.33; N, 4.67%, found: C, 44.28; H, 3.43; N, 4.62%.

The compound **1b** (Z and E-mixture): 91 mg, 61%; a yellowish solid.

E-Isomer: when the reaction was carried out at room temperature, only E-isomer can be isolated. A yellowish solid; mp 109–111°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1690 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.37 (s, 3H, Me), 4.41 (d, $J=11.4$ Hz, 1H, OH), 5.98 (d, $J=11.4$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.52 (dd, $J=8.0$, 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.75 (d, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.87 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.13 (d, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.21 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 300 (M⁺+1, 1.10), 220 $(M⁺-79, 66.03), 43 (M⁺-256, 100)$. Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrNO_4$ requires C, 44.00; H, 3.33; N, 4.67%, found: C, 44.19; H, 3.44; N, 4.55%.

Z-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1690 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.46 (s, 3H, Me), 3.33 (d, J= 5.9 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.62 (d, $J=5.9$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 6.91 $(s, 1H, \text{vinyl H}), 7.56$ (dd, $J=8.1, 7.9$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.77 $(d, J=7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H, Ar H), 8.16 (d, J=8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H, Ar H), 8.25$ (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 300 (M⁺+1, 1.10), 220 $(M⁺-79, 66.03), 43 (M⁺-256, 100).$ Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrNO_4$ requires C, 44.00; H, 3.33; N, 4.67%, found: C, 44.19; H, 3.44; N, 4.55%.

The compound 1c (Z-isomer isolated): 99 mg, 66% ; a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1669 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 2.51 (s, 3H, Me), 3.91 (br, 1H, OH), 6.07 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 6.60 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.51

 $(dd, J=8.0, 7.41$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.70 $(dd, J=7.85, 7.41$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.85 (d, J=7.85 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.03 (d, J= 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 300 (M⁺+1, 1.18), 220 $(M⁺-79, 10.28), 43 (M⁺-256, 57.38); HRMS calcd for$ $C_{11}H_{11}BrNO₄ (M⁺+1)$ requires 299.9872, found: 299.9877.

The compound 1c $(E\text{-isomer isolated})$: 14 mg, 10%; a yellowish solid; mp 97-98°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1690 cm⁻¹ \widetilde{C} (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.33 (s, 3H, Me), 3.91 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H, OH), 6.39 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.45 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.58 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.73 $(s, 1H, \text{vinv1 H}),$ 7.82 (d, J=7.65 Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 256 (M⁺ -43 , 1.65), 220 (M⁺ -79 , 2.22), 43 (M⁺ -256 , 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_9BrNO₄ (M⁺-HBr)$ requires 219.0530, found: 219.0528.

The compound 1d $(E\text{-isomer isolated})$: 115 mg, 80%; a yellowish solid; mp 71–72°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1665 cm⁻¹ \widetilde{C} (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.32 (s, 3H, Me), 4.41 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.88 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.38 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.76 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 287 (M⁺-1, 1.50), 209 (M⁺-79, 100), 43 (M⁺-256, 71.12); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrClO_2$ (M⁺+1) requires 287.9553, found: 287.9552.

The compound 1e (E-isomer isolated): 101 mg, 79%; a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1669 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.29 (s, 3H, Me), 4.44 (d, J= 11.1 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.92 (d, $J=11.1$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.32 (m, 5H, Ar H), 7.73 (s, 1H, vinylic H); MS (EI) m/e 254 $(M⁺, 0.4), 175 (M⁺-79, 4.39), 131 (M⁺-123, 100), 43$ $(M⁺ – 211,70.42)$. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₁₁BrO₂ requires C, 51.79; H, 4.35%, found: C, 51.58; H, 4.53%.

The compound 1e (Z-isomer): IR (CHCl₃) ν 1671 cm⁻¹ $(C=0)$; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3$, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.31 (s, 3H, Me), 2.98 (br, 1H, OH), 5.49 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 6.63 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.28 (m, 5H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 254 (M⁺, 6.0), 211 ($M⁺-43$, 5.0), 131 ($M⁺-123$, 35.0). Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}BrO_2$ requires C, 51.79; H, 4.35%, found: C, 51.58; H, 4.53%.

The compound 1f (*E*-isomer isolated): 115 mg, 81% , a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1668 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 1.21 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H, Me), 2.30 (s, 3H, Me), 2.68 (q, 2H, J=7.4 Hz, CH₂), 4.41 (d, J= 11.1 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.98 (d, $J=11.1$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.28 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.73 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 283 $(M^+, 29)$, 159 $(M^+ - 124, 18)$, 43 $(M^+ - 238, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}BrO (M⁺-OH)$ requires 265.0227, found: 265.0216.

The compound 1f (Z-isomer): a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1666 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 1.25 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H, Me), 2.30 (s, 3H, Me), 2.70 (q, 2H, $J=7.2$ Hz, CH₂), 2.99 (br, 1H, OH), 5.46 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 6.61 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.25 (m, 4H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 283 (M⁺, 0.65), 159 (M⁺-124, 100), 43 (M⁺-238, 71.12); HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}BrO_2$ requires 282.0255, found: 282.0259.

4.1.2. Typical reaction procedure of arylaldehydes with **3-butyn-2-one (at 70°C).** To a solution of TiBr₄ (257 mg,

0.7 mmol) in freshly distilled 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of p-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min , 3- butyn-2-one (68 mg) , 1.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept for 8 h at 70° C. The reaction was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (2.0 mL) . After filtration, the filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane $(5.0 \text{ mL} \times 2)$ and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give 2a (Z-isomer, 126 mg, 70%) as a yellowish solid (eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether=1:10): mp $122-123^{\circ}C$; IR (CHCl_3) ν 1682 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 6.5 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.66 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.79 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.16 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 362 (M⁺-1, 4.96), 282 $(M⁺-81, 39.6), 43 (M⁺-320, 100).$ Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_9Br_2NO_3$ requires C, 36.36; H, 2.48; N, 3.86%, found: C, 36.72; H, 2.67; N, 3.96%.

The compound 2b (Z-isomer isolated): 78 mg, 43%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1682 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 2.37 (s, 3H, Me), 6.50 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.51 (dd, $J=8.1, 7.5$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.81 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.85 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.13 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.32 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 284 (M⁺-79, 53.02), 203 (M⁺ -160 , 40.57), 43 (M⁺ -320 , 37.3); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}Br_2NO_3 (M^+ + 1)$ requires 361.9025, found: 361.9034.

The compound 2c (Z-isomer isolated): 130 mg, 72%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1688 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3$, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.63 (s, 3H, Me), 6.48 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.62 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.68 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.85 $(m, 2H, Ar H)$; MS (EI) m/e 362 (M⁺-1, 1.26), 203 $(M⁺-160, 100)$, 43 $(M⁺-320, 37.3)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}Br_2NO_3$ $(M^+ + 1)$ requires 361.9028, found: 361.9034.

The compound 2d $(E \text{ and } Z\text{-mixture})$: 120 mg, 68%, a yellowish oil.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1691 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.63 (s, 1H, Me), 6.75 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.37 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.43 (m, 4H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 271 (M⁺-81, 29.04), 192 (M⁺-160, 1.73), 164 $(M⁺-188, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₀Br₂ClO (M⁺+1) requires 350.8788, found: 350.8784.

Z-*Isomer*: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1691 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.33 (s, 1H, Me), 6.45 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.30 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.70 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 271 (M⁺-81, 29.04), 192 (M⁺-160, 1.73), 164 $(M⁺-188, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₀Br₂ClO (M⁺+1) requires 350.8788, found: 350.8784.

The compound $2e$ (*E* and *Z*-mixture): 79 mg, 50%, a yellowish oil.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1689 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.64 (s, 3H, Me), 6.78 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.43 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.51 (m, 5H, Ar H); MS

(EI) m/e 316 (M⁺, 10.0), 115 (M⁺-201, 58.0), 43 $(M⁺-273, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C₁₁H₁₁Br₂O$ requires 316.9177 (M^+ –1), found: 316.9180.

Z-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1689 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 2.31 (s, 3H, Me), 6.54 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.38 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.69 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 316 (M⁺, 10.0), 115 (M⁺-201, 58.0), 43 (M⁺-273, 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}Br_2O$ requires 316.9177 $(M⁺-1)$, found: 316.9180.

The compound 2f $(E \text{ and } Z\text{-mixture})$: 103 mg, 60%, a yellowish oil.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1684 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 1.22 (t, 3H, J=7.6 Hz, Me), 2.61 $(s, 3H, Me)$, 2.68 $(q, 2H, J=7.6 Hz, CH₂)$, 6.84 $(s, 1H,$ benzylic H), 7.34 (d, $J=8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.45 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.48 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 346 (M⁺, 1.21), 331 ($M⁺-15$, 3.35), 186 ($M⁺-160$, 46.09), 43 $(M⁺-303, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₄Br₂O requires 343.9411, found: 343.9365.

Z-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1684 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 1.28 (t, 3H, $J=8.0$ Hz, Me), 2.28 (s, 3H, Me), 2.65 (q, 2H, $J=8.0$ Hz, CH₂), 6.52 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.16 (d, $J=8.1$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.41 (d, $J=$ 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.67 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 346 $(M⁺, 1.21), 331 (M⁺-15, 3.35), 186 (M⁺-160, 46.09), 43$ $(M⁺-303, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₄Br₂O requires 343.9411, found: 343.9365.

4.1.3. Typical reaction procedure of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate (at 20° C). To a solution of TiBr₄ (257 mg, 0.7 mmol) in freshly distilled dichloromethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of p-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.0 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min, methyl propiolate (84 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept for $48 h$ at 20° C. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (2.0 mL) . After filtration, the filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane (5.0 mL \times 2) and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give the compound 3a (E -isomer isolated, 22 mg, 14%) as a yellowish solid (eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether= $1:5$): mp 105–106°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1702 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.75 (s, 3H, Me), 4.26 (d, $J=11.4$ Hz, 1H, OH), 6.03 (d, $J=11.4$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.60 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.87 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.21 (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 284 (M⁺ - 31, 2.19), 235 $(M⁺-81, 23.17), 204 (M⁺-122, 100).$ Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrNO₅$ requires C, 41.77; H, 3.16; N, 4.43%, found: C, 41.53; H, 3.48; N, 4.52%.

The compound 3a (Z-isomer isolated): 44 mg, 28%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1707 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.35 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H, OH), 3.76 (s, 3H, Me), 5.61 (d, $J=6.2$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.05 $(s, 1H, \text{vinyl H}), 7.56$ (d, $J=8.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H, Ar H), 8.22$ (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 284 (M⁺ -31 , 1.92), 235 $(M⁺-81, 19.78), 204 (M⁺-122, 100); HRMS calcd$

for $C_{11}H_{11}BrNO_5$ (M⁺+1) requires 315.9821, found: 315.9823.

The compound 3b (*E*-isomer isolated): 20 mg, 13% , a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1725 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.76 (s, 3H, Me), 4.30 (d, J= 11.4 Hz, 1H, OH), 6.02 (d, $J=11.4$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.54 (dd, $J=8.2$, 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.77 (d, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.88 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.15 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, Ar H), 8.29 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 316 (M⁺, 0.99), 298 (M⁺-18, 15.83), 204 $(M⁺-112, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrNO_5$ (M⁺-H₂O) requires 296.9635, found: 296.9639.

The compound 3b (Z-isomer isolated): 52 mg, 33%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1701 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.39 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 1H, OH), 3.76 (s, 3H, Me), 5.62 (d, $J=5.9$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.08 $(s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.55$ (dd, $J=8.5, 7.9$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.70 (d, $J=7.9$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.17 (d, $J=8.5$ Hz, Ar H), 8.25 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 298 (M⁺-18, 14.83), 266 $(M⁺-50, 21.00)$, 204 $(M⁺-112, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{10}BrNO₅$ requires 314.9751, found: 314.9749.

The compound 3c (Z-isomer isolated): 19 mg, 12%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃ ν 1732 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.73 (s, 3H, Me), 6.16 (s, 1H, benzylic H), 7.0 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.50 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.70 $(m, 2H, Ar H), 7.98$ (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 284 (M⁺-31, 1.22), 235 (M⁺-81, 3.79), 218 (M⁺-98, 94.1), 210 (M^+ –106, 100); HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₁BrNO₅ $(M^+ + 1)$ requires 315.9821, found: 315.9825.

The compound 3c $(E{\text -}isomer$ isolated): 7 mg, 4%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃ ν 1731 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDC1_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.78 (s, 3H, Me), 3.89 (d, $J=9.9$ Hz, 1H, OH), 6.49 (d, $J=9.9$ Hz, 1H, benzylic H), 7.45 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.59 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.82 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.85 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 269 $(M⁺-46, 21.33), 218 (M⁺-98, 98.64), 210 (M⁺-106,$ 73.86); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}BrNO₅ (M⁺+1)$ requires 315.9821, found: 315.9828.

4.1.4. Typical reaction procedure of arylaldehydes with methyl propiolate (at 70 $^{\circ}$ C). To a solution of TiBr₄ (257 mg, 0.7 mmol) in freshly distilled 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min, methyl propiolate (84 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept for $14 h$ at 70° C. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (2.0 mL) . After filtration, the filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane (5.0 mL \times 2) and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give compound $4a$ (*E*-isomer isolated, 76 mg, 40%) as a yellowish oil (eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether= $1:10$). IR (CHCl₃) ν 1726 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) ^d 3.70 (s, 3H, Me), 6.47 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.69 $(d, J=8.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H, Ar H), 7.81 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.16$ (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 379 (M⁺, 0.68), 348 ($M⁺-31$, 2.59), 300 ($M⁺-79$, 42.73), 219

 $(M⁺-160, 38.05), 173 (M⁺-206, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_9Br_2NO_4$ requires 376.8898 (M⁺), found: 376.8947.

The compound 4a (Z-isomer isolated): 30 mg, 16%, a yellowish solid; mp 130–131°C. IR (CHCl₃) ν 1728 cm⁻¹ \widetilde{C} (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.98 (s, 3H, Me), 6.62 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.60 (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.63 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.36 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 379 (M⁺, 0.59), 348 (M⁺-31, 3.85), 300 (M⁺-79, 46.45), 219 (M⁺ -160 , 45.10), 173 (M⁺ -206 , 100). Anal. calcd for $C_{11}H_9Br_2NO_4$ requires C, 34.83; H, 2.37; N, 3.69%, found: C, 34.96; H, 2.43; N, 3.69%.

The compound $4b$ (*E*-isomer isolated): 84 mg, 44%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1725 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.73 (s, 3H, Me), 6.49 $(s, 1H, \text{allylic H}), 7.53 \text{ (dd, } J=4.0, 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, \text{Ar H}),$ 7.83 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.89 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.13 (d, $J=4.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.40 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 348 $(M⁺-31, 2.63)$, 300 $(M⁺-79, 59.91)$, 219 $(M⁺-160, 60.06)$, 188 $(M⁺-191, 64.16)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6Br_2NO_3$ (M⁺-OMe) requires 345.8715, found: 345.8757.

The compound 4b (Z-isomer isolated): 15 mg, 8%, a yellowish solid; mp 125-126°C. IR (CHCl₃) ν 1729 cm⁻¹ \widetilde{C} (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) 3.97 (s, 3H, Me), 6.65 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.64 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.70 (dd, $J=7.7$, 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.80 (d, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.31 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.36 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 348 (M⁺-31, 2.55), 300 (M⁺-79, 51.98), 219 $(M⁺-160, 64.45), 188 (M⁺-191, 58.30); HRMS calcd$ for $C_{11}H_9Br_2NO_4$ (M⁺-OMe) requires 345.8715, found: 345.8706.

The compound $4c$ (*E*-isomer isolated): 36 mg, 19%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1701 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDC1_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.95 (s, 3H, Me), 6.42 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.57 (d, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.65 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.81 (m, 1H, Ar H),7.92 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.21 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 379 (M⁺, 4.53), 348 (M⁺-31, 5.71), 300 (M⁺-79, 10.68), 219 (M⁺-160, 41.32), 187 $(M⁺-192, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6Br_2NO_3$ (M⁺-OMe) requires 345.8715, found: 345.8708.

The compound $4c$ (Z-isomer isolated): 17 mg, 9%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1726 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.58 (s, 3H, Me), 6.72 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.28 (d, $J=9.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.53 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.63 (m, 1H, Ar H), 8.05 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 8.24 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 379 (M⁺, 1.57), 300 $(M⁺-79, 6.77), 219 (M⁺-160, 31.79), 187 (M⁺-192,$ 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_9Br_2NO_4$ requires 376.8898 $(M⁺)$, found: 376.8925.

The compound 4d (E-isomer isolated): 78 mg, 38%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1728 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDC1_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.73 (s, 3H, Me), 6.39 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.75 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 412 (M⁺, 0.90), 333 (M⁺-79, 2.59), 253 $(M⁺-160, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₉Br₃O₂ requires 409.8153, found: 409.8152.

The compound 4d (Z-isomer isolated): 39 mg, 19%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1720 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.94 (s, 3H, Me), 6.70 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.31 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.52 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.63 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 412 (M⁺, 0.83), 333 (M⁺-79, 49.59), 253 (M⁺-160, 99.63); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_9Br_3O_2$ requires 409.8153, found: 409.8157.

The compound 4e (E-isomer isolated): 64 mg, 35%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1728 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.61 (s, 3H, Me), 6.30 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.16 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.36 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.63 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 337 (M⁺-31, 1.75), 289 (M⁺-79, 49.99), 207 (M⁺-158, 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6ClBr_2O$ (M⁺-OMe) requires 334.8473, found: 334.8493.

The compound 4e (Z-isomer isolated): 46 mg, 25%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1728 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDC1_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 3.83 (s, 3H, Me), 6.60 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.27 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, $2H$, Ar H), 7.36 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.43 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 368 (M⁺. 1.22), 289 (M⁺-79, 41.76), 207 (M⁺-158, 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6ClBr_2O$ (M⁺-OMe) requires 334.8473, found: 334.8451.

The compound 4f (Z-isomer isolated): 23 mg, 14%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1730 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.94 (s, 3H, Me), 6.45 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.25–7.51 (m, 5H, Ar H), 7.63 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 334 (M⁺, 6.40), 255 (M⁺-79, 54.50), 173 $(M⁺-160, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₀Br₂O₂ requires 331.9048 (M⁺), found: 331.9086.

The compound 4f (E-isomer isolated): 40 mg, 24%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 1715 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.89 (s, 3H, Me), 5.68 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.30–7.52 (m, 5H, Ar H), 7.60 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 334 (M⁺, 0.72), 254 (M⁺-79, 23.18), 173 $(M⁺-160, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₉BrO₂ (M⁺-HBr) requires 251.9784, found: 251.9779.

The compound $4g$ (*E* and *Z*-isomer isolated): 61 mg, 34%, a yellowish oil.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1711 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 1.27 (m, 3H, Me), 2.60 (m, 2H, CH2), 3.88 (s, 3H, Me), 5.64 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.36 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.53 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.66 (s, 1H, vinyl H); MS (EI) m/e 282 (M⁺-79, 21.50), 251 (M⁺-110, 2.83, 201 ($M⁺ - 160$, 100); HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₃BrO₂ $(M⁺-HBr)$ requires 280.0097, found: 280.0093.

Z-*Isomer*: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1711 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, TMS, 300 MHz)$ δ 1.27 (m, 3H, Me), 2.60 (m, 2H, CH2), 3.88 (s, 3H, Me), 5.65 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.47 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.16–7.27 (m, 4H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 282 $(M⁺-79, 21.50), 251 (M⁺-110, 2.83, 201 (M⁺-160,$ 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}BrO_2$ (M⁺-HBr) requires 280.0097, found: 280.0093.

4.1.5. Typical reaction procedure of arylaldehydes with **propynenitrile (at 70°C).** To a solution of TiBr₄ (257 mg,

0.7 mmol) in freshly distilled 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of p-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2.0 mL) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min, propynenitrile (51 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept for 48 h at 70° C. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2.0 mL). After filtration, the filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane $(5.0 \text{ mL} \times 2)$ and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give the compound 5a (E and Z-mixture, 73 mg, 42%) as a yellowish oil (eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $=1:10$).

Z-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 2230, 2304 cm⁻¹ (C \equiv N); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 5.74 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.43 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.71 (d, $J=8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 8.28 (d, $J=8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 347 (M⁺+1, 10.83), 267 $(M⁺-79, 37.66), 221 (M⁺-125, 36.00), 140 (M⁺-206,$ 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6Br_2N_2O_2$ requires 343.8796 $(M⁺)$, found: 343.8752.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 2230, 2304 cm⁻¹ (C \equiv N); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 6.35 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.34 $(s, 1H, \text{vinyl H}), 8.0 (d, J=11.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H, Ar H), 8.31 (d,$ $J=11.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 347 (M⁺+1, 10.83), 267 (M⁺-79, 37.66), 221 (M⁺-125, 36.00), 140 (M⁺-206, 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6Br_2N_2O_2$ requires 343.8796 (M^+), found: 343.8752.

The compound 5b (Z-isomer isolated): 21 mg, 12%, a yellowish oil; IR (CHCl₃) ν 2331 cm⁻¹ (C=N); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 5.72 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.42 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.65 (dd, $J=7.9$, 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 7.91 (d, $J=8.1$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.28 (d, $J=7.9$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.33 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 347 (M⁺+1, 9.20), 267 (M⁺-79, 39.40), 221 (M^+ -125, 22.06), 186 (M^+ -160, 47.20), 140 $(M⁺-206, 100)$; HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₆Br₂N₂O₂ requires 343.8796 (M^+), found: 343.8823.

The compound $5b$ (*E*-isomer isolated): 62 mg, 36%, a yellowish solid; mp 132–133°C; IR (CHCl₃) ν 2304 cm⁻¹ $(C=N)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 6.33 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.32 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.71 (dd, $J=7.8$, 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.30 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.36 (d, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar H), 8.53 (s, 1H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 346 (M⁺, 0.83), 267 (M⁺-79, 54.47), 221 (M⁺-125, 13.47), 186 (M⁺-160, 33.11), 140 $(M⁺-206, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{10}H_6BrN_2O_2$ (M⁺-Br) requires 264.9612, found: 264.9611.

The compound $5c$ (*E* and *Z*-mixture): 71 mg, 42%, a yellowish oil.

Z-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 2226, 2304 cm⁻¹ (CN); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 5.62 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.28 $(s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.35 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.42$ (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 336 (M⁺+1, 10.27), 256 (M⁺-79, 90.73), 174 (M⁺-160, 100); HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_6Br_2CIN$ requires 332.8555, found: 332.8540.

E-Isomer: IR (CHCl₃) ν 2226, 2304 cm⁻¹ (CN); ¹H NMR

(CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 6.33 (s, 1H, allylic H), 7.18 (s, 1H, vinyl H), 7.44 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar H), 7.77 (d, J= 9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 336 (M⁺+1, 10.27), 256 $(M⁺-79, 90.73)$, 174 $(M⁺-160, 100)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_6Br_2CIN$ requires 332.8555, found: 332.8540.

4.1.6. Typical procedure for the reaction of 4a with $NaCH(CO₂Et)₂$. A solution of sodium dimethyl malonate in THF (4.0 mL of a 0.175 M solution, 0.7 mmol) prepared from the reaction of dimethyl malonate (0.7 mmol) with sodium hydride (0.7 mmol) was added to a mixture of 4a (123 mg, 0.33 mmol), triphenylphophine (10.5 mg, 0.04 mmol), and palladium acetate (2.2 mg, 0.01 mmol) under argon atmosphere at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 10 h at rt, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated NaHCO₃ solution (5 mL) . The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO4. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give the compound $6E$ (57 mg, 32%) and the compound $6Z$ (57 mg, 32%) as a yellowish oil, respectively.

The compound 6E: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1749, 1731, 1710 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 1.1–1.4 (m, 12H, 4Me), 3.65 (s, 3H, Me), 4.05–4.13 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 4.18–4.28 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 4.78–4.89 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, $J=10.7$ Hz, 1H, vinyl H), 7.53 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 8.10 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 538 (M⁺+1, 13.70), 506 (M⁺-31, 57.25), 491 (M⁺-46, 100), 492 $(M⁺-45, 32.14)$; HRMS calcd for C₂₅H₃₁NO₁₂ requires 537.1846, found: 537.1863.

The compound 6Z: IR (CHCl₃) ν 1732 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 1.1–1.6 (m, 12H, 4Me), 3.67 (s, 3H, Me), 3.9–4.02 (m, 4H, 2CH2), 4.12–4.24 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 4.26 (d, J=12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J=12.2 Hz, benzylic H), 5.02 (d, J=9.1 Hz, allylic H), 6.53 (d, J= 9.1 Hz, vinyl H), 7.47 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H), 8.13 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 538 (M⁺+1, 26.76), 506 $(M⁺-31, 100)$, 491 $(M⁺-46, 35.38)$, 492 $(M⁺-45, 50.53)$; HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{31}NO_{12}$ requires 537.1846, found: 537.1855.

4.1.7. Typical procedure for the reaction of 4a with **PhB(OH)₂.** To a solution of $4a$ (100 mg, 0.26 mmol), PhB(OH)₂ (106 mg, 0.86 mmol), Ag₂O (231 mg, 1.0 mmol), and $K_3PO_4·H_2O$ (266 mg, 1.0 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) was added Pd(dba) 3 (5 mg, 0.009 mmol) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 22 h under reflux, then cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL \times 2), washed with brine (10 mL), and dried over $MgSO₄$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a flash silica gel column chromatography to give 7 (60 mg, 60%) as a yellowish oil. IR (CHCl₃) ν 1719 cm⁻¹ $(C=0)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 3.49 (s, 3H, Me), 5.50 (s, benzylic H), 6.35 (s, vinyl H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 12H, Ar H), 8.16 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar H); MS (EI) m/e 373 $(M^+$, 53.94), 342 $(M^+$ -31, 18.84), 314 $(M^+$ -59, 15.25), 296 (M⁺ -77 , 31.56); HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₄ requires 373.1314, found: 373.1316.

4.2. Crystallography

A suitable single crystal was mounted at the top of a glass capillary. Data were collected on a Rigaku AFC7R diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ using the $\omega - 2\theta$ technique at 20°C. The data were collected for Lorentz polarization effects. The structure was solved by direct methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least squares. All hydrogen atoms were included in calculated position. All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN crystallographic software package. Their crystal structures have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center and have been allocated the deposition numbers: CCDC 172325 for 2a, CCDC 172326 for 4a, and CCDC 172327 for 5.

Crystal data of $2a$: empirical formula, $C_{11}H_9O_3NBr_2$; formula weight, 363.29; crystal color, habit, colorless, prismatic; crystal dimensions, $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.30$ mm³; crystal system, orthorhombic; lattice type, primitive; lattice parameters, $a=13.988(4)$ Å, $b=17.119(6)$ Å, $c=$ 10.524(4) Å, $V=2520(1)$ Å³; space group: $Pbca(\text{\#}61)$; $Z_{\text{value}}=8; D_{\text{calc}}=1.018 \text{ g/cm}^3; F_{000}=792.00; \mu(\text{Mo K}\alpha)$ 16.44 cm⁻¹; residuals: \bar{R} ; $Rw=0.045$; 0.046.

Crystal data of **4a**: empirical formula, $C_{11}H_9O_4NBr_2$; formula weight, 379.00; crystal color, habit, colorless, prismatic; crystal dimensions, $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.30$ mm³; crystal system, triclinic; lattice type, primitive; lattice parameters, $a=10.566(3)$ Å, $b=11.427(6)$ Å, $c=$ 5.764(4) A^{*}, α =92.27(3)°, β =102.09(2)°, γ =71.23(3)°, $V=644.0(4)$ Å³; space group: $P1(\#2)$; $Z_{value}=2$; $D_{calc}=$ 1.954 g/cm³; $F_{000} = 368.00$; μ (Mo K α) = 63.17 cm⁻¹; residuals: R ; $Rw=0.032$; 0.039.

Crystal data of 5: empirical formula, $C_{10}H_6O_2N_2Br_2$; formula weight, 345.99; crystal color, habit, colorless, prismatic; crystal dimensions, $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.30$ mm³; crystal system, monoclinic; lattice type, primitive; lattice parameters, $a=8.4790(18)$ Å, $b=19.671(4)$ Å, $c=$ 7.1537(15) Å, $\alpha = 90.0^{\circ}$, $\beta = 100.529(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90.0(0)^{\circ}$, $V =$ 1173.1(4) Å³; space group: $P2(1)/c$; $Z_{value} = 4$; $D_{calc} =$ 1.959 g/cm³; F_{000} =664.00; residuals: R; Rw=0.096; 0.113.

Acknowledgements

We thank the State Key Project of Basic Research (Project 973) (No. G2000048007) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support (20025206) for financial support. We also thank the Inoue Photochirogenesis Project (ERATO, JST) for chemical reagents and HRMS measurements.

References

1. For reviews, see: (a) Ciganek, E. Org. React. 1997, 51, 201. (b) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, P. D.; Hyma, R. S. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8001. (c) Drewes, S. E.; Roos, G. H. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4653. (d) Baylis, A. B.; Hillman, M. E. D. Ger. Offen. 1972, 2, 115. See also p 113; Chem. Abstr. 1972, 77, 34174q. Hillman, M. E. D.; Baylis, A. B. U.S. Patent 3,743,669, 1973. (e) Morita, K.; Suzuki, Z.; Hirose, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1968, 41, 2815.

2. (a) Brzezinski, L. J.; Rafel, S.; Leahy, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4317. (b) Miyakoshi, T.; Saito, S. Nippon Kagaku Kaishi 1983, 1623. Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 156191g. (c) Marko, I. E.; Giles, P. R.; Hindley, N. J. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 1015. (d) Richter, H.; Jung, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2729. (e) Barrett, A. G. M.; Cook, A. S.; Kamimura, A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1998, 2533. (f) Kunig, E. P.; Xu, L. H.; Romanens, P.; Bernardinelli, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7049. (g) Aggarwal, V. K.; Mereu, A.; Tarver, G. J.; McMague, R. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7183. (h) Kawamura, M.; Kobayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1539. (i) Kataoka, T.; Iwama, T.; Tsujiyama, S.; Iwamura, T.; Watanaba, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 11813. (j) Kataoka, T.; Iwama, T.; Tsujiyama, S.; Kanematsu, K.; Iwamura, T.; Watanabe, S. Chem. Lett. 1999, 257. (k) Kataoka, T.; Iwama, T.; Tsujiyama, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1998, 197. (l) Ono, M.; Nishimura, K.; Nagaoka, Y.; Tomioka, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1509. (m) Li, G.-G.; Wei, H.-X.; Gao, J. J.; Caputo,

T. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1. (n) Kataoka, T.; Kinoshita, H.; Iwama, T.; Tsujiyama, S.; Iwamura, T.; Watanabe, S.; Muraoka, O.; Tanabe, G. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4725. (o) Li, G. G.; Gao, J.; Wei, H.-X.; Enright, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 617.

- 3. Shi, M.; Jiang, J.-K. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4793.
- 4. (a) Shi, M.; Jiang, J.-K.; Feng, Y.-S. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2397. (b) Shi, M.; Feng, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 406.
- 5. Wei, H.-X.; Kim, S.-H.; Caputo, T. D.; Purkiss, D. W.; Li, G.-G. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2397.
- 6. Kataoka, T.; Kinoshita, H.; Kinoshita, S.; Iwamura, T.; Watanabe, S.-I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2358.
- 7. In a short communication, we reported that when the reaction of arylaldehydes with 3-butyn-2-one was carried out at $<-20^{\circ}C$, the brominated compounds 1 were obtained as the major product, however, when the reaction was carried out at room temperature (20 $^{\circ}$ C), both 1 and α , β -dibrominated compounds 2 were formed as the major products. See: Shi, M.; Wang, C.-J. Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 841.
- 8. The Suzuki-type coupling reaction of boronic acids with benzyl bromide has been reported before: (a) Chowdhury, S.; Georgghiou, P. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7599. (b) Chen, H.; Deng, M.-Z. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1609.